# MINNESOTA STATE POLICY FINAL DOCUMENT 3/5/2024

# PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO POLICY 1C.2 FRAUDULENT OR OTHER DISHONEST ACTS

# **CLEAN COPY followed by Marked-Up Copy**

1 1	162	Eraudu	lant a	r Othar	Dishonest	Λ ctc

#### Part 1. Purpose

Minnesota State is committed to creating an environment where fraudulent or other dishonest acts are not tolerated. This policy establishes responsibilities for investigating potential incidents of fraud or other dishonest acts, taking remedial actions, and reporting evidence to the Office of Internal Auditing and other appropriate authorities.

#### Part 2. Applicability

This policy applies to all Minnesota State trustees and employees, including faculty (full-time, adjunct, and part-time faculty), administrative staff, student employees, and contractors. It also requires employees to report the actions of other parties that may constitute fraudulent or other dishonest acts or possible criminal conduct affecting Minnesota State resources or information. These other parties include (1) students; (2) contractors and vendors; (3) organizations affiliated with Minnesota State, including foundations governed by Board Policy 8.3; and (4) any other person or organization that uses Minnesota State resources or information, with or without authorization.

This policy does not apply to destruction or misappropriation of personal or private property. Those matters must be reported to appropriate college, university, or system office officials and/or to law enforcement officials when appropriate.

This policy does not apply to allegations of academic misconduct. Those matters must be referred to appropriate college or university officials.

This policy also does not apply to allegations of discrimination or harassment. Those matters are governed by Board Policy 1B.1.

#### Part 3. Definitions

#### Fraudulent or other dishonest acts

A deliberate act or failure to act with the intention of obtaining an unauthorized benefit or other fraudulent behavior. Fraudulent or other dishonest acts may include, and are not limited to:

- Theft or misappropriation of funds, long distance telephone services, supplies, property, computer software, intellectual property, or other resources;
  - Forgery or alteration of documents;
  - Bribery or attempted bribery;
  - Unauthorized use of records or access to information systems, including unauthorized sharing of computer security clearances;
  - Unauthorized alteration, manipulation, or destruction of computer files and data;
  - Falsification of reports to management or external agencies;
  - Conflicts of interest that pursue a personal benefit or advantage while compromising the public interest;
  - Improper handling or reporting of financial transactions;
  - Authorizing or receiving compensation for goods not received or services not performed;
  - Authorizing or receiving compensation for hours not worked;
  - Incurring obligations in excess of appropriation authority; and
  - Willful violation of laws, regulations or policies, or contractual obligations when conducting Minnesota State business.

#### Fraud inquiry

 The initial process for examining complaints, allegations, and other possible evidence of fraudulent or other dishonest acts to determine whether sufficient evidence exists to warrant a fraud investigation.

#### **Fraud Investigation**

The process of collecting and examining evidence to determine whether a fraudulent or other dishonest act has occurred.

#### Part 4. Ethical Conduct

All Minnesota State employees are responsible for complying with the State Code of Ethics (Minn. Stat. 43A.38) and other state statutes and board policies that govern their conduct and ensure that all resources entrusted to them are used ethically, prudently, and for their designated purpose. In addition, to ensure that Minnesota State resources are used appropriately, managers and supervisors are responsible for educating employees about proper conduct, creating an environment that deters dishonesty, maintaining internal controls that provide reasonable assurance of achieving management objectives, and detecting fraudulent or other dishonest acts. Managers and supervisors must be cognizant of the risks and exposures inherent in their area of responsibility and be aware of symptoms of fraudulent or other dishonest acts, should they appear.

#### Part 5. Responsibilities

**Subpart A. Compliance** 

Fraudulent or other dishonest acts are prohibited pursuant to this policy and applicable law. Employees found to have committed a fraudulent or other dishonest act as defined by this policy may be subject to discipline, sanctions, restitution, and other remedies as deemed appropriate by Minnesota State officials.

Subpart B. Employee reporting of suspected fraudulent or other dishonest acts
An employee with a reasonable basis for believing fraudulent or other dishonest acts have occurred has a responsibility to report the suspected act in a timely manner.

Employees are encouraged to report matters through their designated college, university, or system office channels, but may report any matters directly to the Minnesota State Office of Internal Auditing instead. If reporting through college or university channels, reports should be made to the employee's immediate supervisor or manager, unless the employee suspects that the supervisor or manager has participated in or condoned the act. In that case, the employee should report the matter to the next highest level of supervision or management or directly to the college, university, or system office director of human resources. This policy does not prohibit prompt notification to appropriate authorities when an immediate threat to personal safety exists or other circumstances justify such notice. Upon discovering evidence of possible fraudulent or dishonest acts, employees should not confront individuals suspected of wrongdoing or initiate fraud investigations on their own because such actions may compromise any ensuing investigation. Employees must not make statements or disclosures knowing they are false or in reckless disregard of the truth.

# **Subpart C. Conducting a fraud inquiry**

Upon receipt of an allegation of a fraudulent or other dishonest act as defined in this policy, the appropriate campus or Minnesota State officials shall conduct a timely fraud inquiry to determine whether evidence of fraudulent or other dishonest acts is substantiated and merits a fraud investigation or some other action. The appropriate campus or Minnesota State officials shall seek the assistance of the Office of Internal Auditing prior to conducting fraud inquiries.

If a fraud inquiry reveals evidence of possible criminal action or significant financial loss, then a fraud investigation must be conducted pursuant to Part 5, Subp. D of this policy. If a fraud inquiry does not reveal evidence of possible criminal actions or significant financial loss related to a fraudulent or other dishonest act, but substantiates another fraudulent or other dishonest act or a violation of state or federal law or Minnesota State or college or university policies, the matter must be referred to the appropriate campus or system office official for further action. Any incident that reveals possible employee misconduct may be subject to a personnel investigation by the college, university, or system office, as appropriate, and the individual(s) implicated may be subject to personnel action in accordance with the provisions of the applicable collective bargaining agreement or other personnel plan. The results of all fraud inquiries must be reported to the Office of Internal Auditing, regardless of the outcome. The Office of Internal Auditing will review all reports and determine if any other action should be taken.

### Subpart D. Conducting a fraud investigation

If a fraud inquiry merits a fraud investigation, the president or chancellor shall report the matter to the Office of Internal Auditing. The appropriate campus or Minnesota State official shall consult with the chief audit officer to determine responsibilities for conducting the fraud investigation. The Office of General Counsel, the legislative auditor, or other appropriate officials must also be consulted, as appropriate and when required by this policy.

#### Part 6. Remedial Actions

#### **Subpart A. Recovery of losses**

Appropriate action will be taken to recover significant assets lost as a result of a fraudulent or other dishonest act. Full recovery will constitute the value of benefits gained by an employee or beneficiary other than Minnesota State or the documented loss, whichever is larger, and, if appropriate, the cost of investigation, recovery, or other costs. For misuse of long-distance telephone services, recoveries must include the fair market value of the service, taxes, and interest. All reasonable means, consistent with state law, will be sought to recover losses, including voluntary repayments, insurance proceeds when applicable, and legal action when necessary. The Minnesota State Office of General Counsel can advise on whether the evidence available and the cost of recovery justify legal action to recover losses.

# **Subpart B. Reporting and Referral**

Significant financial losses must be reported to the vice chancellor for finance and facilities. Significant loss or damage to electronic information or information systems must be reported to the Minnesota State vice chancellor for information technology. College, university, or system office administrators may consult with the Minnesota State General Counsel prior to disclosing private or confidential data on employees to law enforcement authorities pursuant to Minn. Stat. 13.43, subd. 15.

#### **Subpart C. Internal control deficiencies**

The Office of Internal Auditing shall consider whether evidence of possible fraudulent or other dishonest acts reveals areas or practices in college, university, or system internal controls needing modification. The Office of Internal Auditing shall recommend corrective actions to the president or chancellor, as appropriate, and the vice chancellor for finance and facilities. Internal Auditing shall follow-up on its recommendations and report progress to the Board of Trustees consistent with procedures for audit follow-up.

#### Subpart D. Employee disciplinary actions

Employees found to have participated in fraudulent or other dishonest acts, or any employee who hinders a fraud inquiry or investigation by making a false or misleading statement, or any employee who has knowledge of a fraudulent or other dishonest act, but fails to report it according to this policy may be subject to disciplinary action. The

appropriate campus official or vice chancellor for human resources shall determine whether employee disciplinary action is warranted.

167 168 169

170

166

#### Part 7. Data Practices

Fraud inquiry or investigation data must be handled in accordance with the Minnesota Government Data Practices Act and other applicable law.

171172173

174

175

#### Part 8. Whistleblower Protection

Employees who report suspected fraudulent or other dishonest acts pursuant to Minn. Stat. 181.932 must be protected from retaliation. The identity of information sources must be protected when required by Minn. Stat. 181.932 or 13.392.

176 177 178

179

180

#### Part 9. Other Policies

This policy must not be construed to limit the ability to enforce any other applicable policy or law not incorporated under this policy or to limit the remedies available for violations that occur.

181 182 183

184

185 186

187

188

189

# Part 10. Reporting to the Board of Trustees and the Legislative Auditor

The chief audit officer shall notify the board of any significant violations of law or board policies, as required by Board Policy 1D.1, or any material departures from this policy. The chief audit officer is responsible for reporting evidence to the Office of the Legislative Auditor as required by Minn. Stat. 10.47, 43A.39, and 609.456, Subd. 2 and, if federal funds are involved, to the responsible federal authority. Employees who have reported evidence according to the provisions of this policy will have fulfilled their statutory reporting obligations for reporting to the Office of the Legislative Auditor.

190 191 192

193

194

#### Part 11. Training

All Minnesota State employees are required to complete employee code of conduct training annually.

#### **Related Documents:**

- Board Policy 1B.1 Nondiscrimination in Employment and Education Opportunity
- Board <u>Policy 1D.1</u> Office of Internal Auditing
- Board Policy 8.3 College- or University-Related Foundations

To view any of the following related statutes, go to the **Revisor's Office website**. You can conduct a search from this site by typing in the statute number.

- Minn. Stat. 10.47 Telephone Service; Oversight
- Minn. Stat. 13.392 Internal Auditing Data
- Minn. Stat. 13.43 Personnel Data, Subd. 15. Dissemination of data to law enforcement
- Minn. Stat. 43A.38 Code of Ethics for Employees in the Executive Branch
- Minn. Stat. 43A.39 Compliance with Law
- Minn. Stat. 181.932 Disclosure of Information by Employee

Minn. Stat. 609.456 Reporting to State Auditor and Legislative Auditor Required, Subd.
 Legislative Auditor

#### **Policy History:**

**Date of Adoption:** 6/19/02

Date of Implementation: 6/19/02

**Date of Last Review:** 

#### **Date & Subject of Amendments:**

Xx/xx/24 – Full review, The proposed amendment consists of (1) deleting and replacing outdated information such as replacing "Legislative Auditor" with the "Office of Internal Auditing", (2) reorganizing the information in a more logical sequence, (3) clarifying the language regarding fraud inquiries and fraud investigations, (4) making the Part 6. Remedial Actions language more concise, (5) adding Part 4. Ethical Conduct and Part 11. Training, and (6) applying the Minnesota State formatting and writing styles to the entire policy.

01/25/23 – technical change replaced MnSCU with Minnesota State and the title executive director of internal auditing with chief audit officer.

11/16/11 - Effective 1/1/12, the Board of Trustees amends all board policies to change the term "Office of the Chancellor" to "system office," and to make necessary related grammatical changes.

6/19/02 - repeals Board Policy 7.2, Part 3.

No additional HISTORY.

# MINNESOTA STATE POLICY DISCUSSION DOCUMENT 3/5/2024

# PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO POLICY 1C.2 FRAUDULENT OR OTHER DISHONEST ACTS

#### MARKED-UP COPY

Single Strikethrough – proposed deletion of current language Single Underlining – proposed new language

#### 1C.2 Fraudulent or Other Dishonest Acts

#### Part 1. Purpose-

Minnesota State is committed to creating an environment where fraudulent <u>orand</u> other dishonest acts are not tolerated. All Minnesota State employees are responsible for complying with the State Code of Ethics (Minnesota State Statutes 43A.38 – see related documents below), other state statutes and board policies that govern their conduct, and ensuring that all resources entrusted to them are used ethically, prudently, and for their designated purpose. In addition, to ensure that Minnesota State resources are used appropriately, managers and supervisors are responsible for educating employees about proper conduct, creating an environment that deters dishonesty and maintaining internal controls that provide reasonable assurance of achieving management objectives, and detecting dishonest acts. Furthermore, managers and supervisors must be cognizant of the risks and exposures inherent in their area of responsibility and be aware of symptoms of fraudulent or dishonest acts, should they occur. This policy establishes responsibilities for investigating potential incidents of fraud or other dishonest acts, taking remedial actions, and reporting evidence to the Office of Internal Auditing, Legislative Auditor and other appropriate authorities.

#### Part 2. Applicability-

This policy applies to all Minnesota State trustees and, employees, including faculty (full-time, adjunct, and part-time faculty), administrative staff, and student employees, and contractors. It also requires employees to report the actions of other parties that constitute fraudulent or other dishonest acts may result in financial losses or possible criminal conduct affecting Minnesota State resources or information. These other parties include (1) students; (2) contractors and vendors; (3) organizations affiliated with Minnesota State, including foundations governed by Board Policy 8.3; and (4) any other person or organization that uses Minnesota State resources or information, with or without authorization.

- This policy does not apply to destruction or misappropriation of personal or private property.
- 224 Those matters mustshall be reported to appropriate college, university, or system office
- 225 officials and or to law enforcement officials when appropriate. Also, substantiated violations

involving personal or private property are subject to personnel action or discipline under the student conduct code.

227228229

226

This policy does not apply to allegations of academic misconduct. Those matters <u>mustshall</u> be referred to appropriate college or university officials.

230231232

This policy also does not apply to allegations of discrimination or harassment. Those matters are governed by Board Policy 1B.1.

233234

#### Part 3. Definitions.

235 236 237

238

239

240

241242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

# Subpart A. Fraudulent or other Ddishonest acts.

A dishonest act generally involves a <u>A</u> deliberate act or failure to act with the intention of obtaining an unauthorized benefit, <u>destruction of property</u> or other <u>wise</u> fraudulent behavior. Fraudulent or other <u>D</u>dishonest acts may include, and <u>but</u> are not limited to:

- Theft or misappropriation of funds, long distance telephone services, supplies, property, computer software, intellectual property, or other resources;
- Forgery or alteration of documents;
- Bribery or attempted bribery;
- Unauthorized use of records or access to information systems, including unauthorized sharing of computer security clearances;
- Unauthorized alteration, manipulation, or destruction of computer files and data;
- Falsification of reports to management or external agencies;
- Conflicts of interest that pursue a personal benefit or advantage while compromising the public interest;
- Improper handling or reporting of financial transactions;
- Authorizing or receiving compensation for goods not received or services not performed;
- Authorizing or receiving compensation for hours not worked;
- Incurring obligations in excess of appropriation authority; and
- Willful violation of laws, regulations or policies, or contractual obligations when conducting Minnesota State business.

257 258 259

260

261

#### **Subpart B.** Fraud **linguiry**.

A fraud inquiry is tThe initial process for examining complaints, allegations, and other possible evidence of fraudulent or other dishonest acts. The objective of a fraud inquiry is to determine whether sufficient evidence exists to warrant a fraud investigation.

262 263 264

265

266

# Subpart C. Fraud Investigation-

A fraud investigation is t<u>T</u>he process of collecting and examining evidence to determine whether a <u>fraudulent or other</u> dishonest act <del>involving possible criminal action or significant financial loss</del> has occurred.

267 268 269 All Minnesota State employees are responsible for complying with the State Code of Ethics (Minn. Stat. 43A.38) and other state statutes and board policies that govern their conduct and ensure that all resources entrusted to them are used ethically, prudently, and for their designated purpose. In addition, to ensure that Minnesota State resources are used appropriately, managers and supervisors are responsible for educating employees about proper conduct, creating an environment that deters dishonesty, maintaining internal controls that provide reasonable assurance of achieving management objectives, and detecting fraudulent or other dishonest acts. Managers and supervisors must be cognizant of the risks and exposures inherent in their area of responsibility and be aware of symptoms of fraudulent or other dishonest acts, should they appear.

# Part 54. Responsibilities.

#### Subpart A. Compliance-

 <u>Fraudulent or other</u> <u>Pd</u>ishonest acts are prohibited pursuant to this policy and applicable law. Employees found to have committed a <u>fraudulent or other</u> dishonest act as defined by this policy <u>mayshall</u> be subject to <u>discipline</u>, sanctions, restitution, and other remedies as deemed appropriate by Minnesota State officials.

#### Subpart B. Employee reporting of suspected fraudulent or other dishonest acts-

An employee with a reasonable basis for believing fraudulent or other dishonest acts have occurred has a responsibility to report the suspected act in a timely manner.

Employees are encouraged to report matters through their designated college, university, or system office channels, but may report any matters directly to the Minnesota State Office of Internal Auditing instead. If reporting through college or university channels, rReports should be made to the employee's immediate supervisor or manager, unless the employee suspects that the supervisor or manager has participated in or condoned the act. In that case, the employee should report the matter to the next highest level of supervision or management or directly to the college, university, or system office Ddirector of Hhuman Rresources. Employees are encouraged to report matters through their designated college, university or system office channels, but may report any matters directly to the Minnesota State Office of Internal Auditing. This policy doesshall not prohibit prompt notification to appropriate authorities when an immediate threat to personal safety exists or other circumstances justify such notice. Upon discovering evidence of possible fraudulent or dishonest acts, employees should not confront individuals suspected of wrongdoing or initiate fraud investigations on their own because such actions may compromise any ensuing investigation. Employees mustshall not make statements or disclosures knowing they are false or in reckless disregard of the truth.

#### Subpart C. Conducting a fraud inquiry-

<u>Upon receipt of an allegation of a fraudulent or other dishonest act as defined in Part 5, Subpart A of this policy, Presidents, the Chancellor, or the Chief Audit Officer the</u>

<u>appropriate campus or Minnesota State officials</u> shall be responsible for conducting <u>a timely</u> fraud inquir<u>y</u>ies to determine whether evidence of fraudulent or other dishonest acts is substantiated and merits a fraud investigation or <u>some other action</u> other remedy. The <u>appropriate campus or Minnesota State officials</u> <u>Presidents or the Chancellor shallmay</u> seek the assistance of the Office of Internal Auditing <u>in-prior to</u> conducting fraud inquiries.

If a fraud inquiry reveals evidence of possible criminal action or significant financial loss, then a fraud investigation <u>mustshall</u> be conducted pursuant to Part 54, Subp<u>art</u> D of this policy. If a fraud inquiry does not reveal evidence of possible criminal actions or significant financial loss related to a <u>fraudulent or other</u> dishonest act, but substantiates <u>another</u> <u>fraudulent or other dishonest act or</u> a violation of state or federal law<u>or</u>. Minnesota State or college or university policies, or other applicable requirements, the matter <u>mustshall</u> be referred to the appropriate campus or system office official for further action. Any incident that reveals possible employee misconduct may be subject to a personnel investigation by the college, university, or system office, as appropriate, and <u>the individual(s) implicated may be</u> subject to personnel action in accordance with the provisions of the applicable collective bargaining agreement or other personnel plan. <u>The results of all fraud inquiries must be</u> reported to the Office of Internal Auditing, regardless of the outcome. The Office of Internal Auditing will review all reports and determine if any other action should be taken.

#### Subpart D. Conducting a fraud investigation-

If it is determined under Part 54, Subpart C that a fraud inquiry merits a fraud investigation, the president or Cchancellor shall report the matter to the Office of Internal Auditing. The appropriate campus or Minnesota State official president or Cchancellor shall consult with the Cchief Aaudit Officer to determine responsibilities for conducting the fraud investigation. The Minnesota State Office of General Counsel, the Legislative Aauditor, or other appropriate administrative officials must also shall be consulted, as appropriate and when required by this policy.

#### Part 65. Remedial Actions-

β19 

If a fraud investigation substantiates that a violation has occurred, the following remedial actions against or by Minnesota State employees shall be taken as appropriate:

#### Subpart A. Recovery of Llosses-

Appropriate action will be taken to recover <u>significant</u> assets lost as a result of a <u>fraudulent or other n-dishonest</u> act\_-of dishonesty. Full recovery will constitute the value of benefits gained by an employee or beneficiary other than Minnesota State or the documented loss, whichever is larger, and, if appropriate, the cost of investigation, recovery, or other costs. For misuse of long-distance telephone services, recoveries must include the fair market value of the service, taxes, and interest. All reasonable means, consistent with state law, will be sought to recover losses, including voluntary repayments, <u>withholding from salary and wages</u>, insurance proceeds when applicable, and legal action when necessary. <u>The Minnesota State Office of General Counsel can advise on whether the evidence available and the cost of recovery justify legal action to recover losses.</u>

358

359 360 361 362

364 365

363

366

367 368 369

370 371

372

373 374

375 376

377 378 379

380 381

382 383

384 385 386

387 388

389 390

391 392

393 394

395

398

399

400

401

396 397

Part 87. Whistleblower Protection-

Part 76. Data Practices-

Employees who report suspected fraudulent or other dishonest acts pursuant to Winnesota State Statutes Minn. Stat. 181.932 must shall be protected from retaliation. The identity of information sources mustshall be protected when required by Minnesota State Statutes Minn. Stat. 181.932 or Minnesota State Statutes 13.392.

Fraud inquiry or investigation data must be handled in accordance with the Minnesota

Significant financial losses mustshall be reported to the Vvice Cchancellor for finance and facilities - Chief Financial Officer. Dishonest acts that result in significant loss or damage to electronic information or information systems must shall be reported to the Minnesota State Chief Information Officer. The Minnesota State Office of General Counsel shall determine can advise on whether the evidence available and the cost of recovery justify legal action to recover losses.

# Subpart B. Reporting and Referral to Law Enforcement.

Significant financial losses must be reported to the vice chancellor for finance and facilities. Significant loss or damage to electronic information or information systems must be reported to the Minnesota State vice chancellor for information technology. A cCollege, university, or system office administrators may shall consult with the Minnesota State General Counsel prior to disclosing private or confidential data on employees to law enforcement authorities pursuant to Minn. esota State Stat. utes 13.43, subd. 15.

# Subpart C. Internal Control Ddeficiencies

The Office of Internal Auditing shall consider whether evidence of possible fraudulent or other dishonest acts reveals areas or practices in college, university, or system internal controls needing modification. The Office of Internal Auditing shall recommend corrective actions to the president or chancellor, as appropriate, and the Vvice Cchancellor for finance and facilities.—Chief Financial Officer. Internal Auditing shall follow-up on its recommendations and report progress to the bBoard of tTrustees consistent with procedures for audit follow-up.

#### Subpart D. Employee **D**disciplinary Aactions-

Government Data Practices Act and other applicable law.

Employees found to have participated in fraudulent or other dishonest acts, or any employee who hinders a fraud inquiry or investigation by making a false or misleading statement, or any employee who has knowledge of a fraudulent or other dishonest act, but fails to report it according to this policy mayshall be subject to disciplinary action. The appropriate campus official or \(\frac{1}{2}\)vice \(\frac{1}{2}\)chancellor for \(\frac{1}{2}\)human \(\frac{1}{2}\)resources shall determine whether employee disciplinary action is warranted. The provisions of collective bargaining agreements mustshall be observed for any employee disciplinary proceedings.

# 402 Part 98. Other Policies-

This policy mustshall not be construed to limit the ability to enforce any other applicable policy or law not incorporated under this policy or to limit the remedies available for violations that occur.

406 407

# Part <u>109</u>. Reporting to the Board of Trustees and the Legislative Auditor-

The <u>Chief Aaudit Oofficer</u> shall notify the <u>Boord of Trustees</u> of any significant violations of law or board policies, as required by Board Policy 1D.1, or any material departures from this policy.

The <u>Chief Aaudit Oofficer</u> is responsible for reporting evidence to the Office of the Legislative

Auditor as required by Minnesota State Statutes Minn. Stat. 10.47, 43A.39, and 609.456,

412 Subd. ivision 2 and, if federal funds are involved, to the responsible federal authority.

413 Employees who have reported evidence according to the provisions of this policy will have

414 fulfilled their statutory reporting obligations for reporting to the Office of the Legislative

415 Auditor.

416

# 417 Part 11. Training

All Minnesota State employees are required to complete employee code of conduct training

419 <u>annually.</u>

#### **Related Documents:**

- Board Policy 1B.1 Nondiscrimination in Employment and Education Opportunity
- Board Policy 1D.1 Office of Internal Auditing
- Board Policy 8.3 College- or University-Related Foundations

To view any of the following related statutes, go to the **Revisor's Office website**. You can conduct a search from this site by typing in the statute number.

- Minn<u>esota State</u> Stat<u>ute</u> 10.47 <u>Telephone Service</u>; <u>Oversight</u>
- Minn\_esota State Stat\_ute 13.392 Internal Auditing Data
- Minn<u>esota State</u> Stat<u>ute</u> 13.43 <u>Personnel Data, Subd. 15.</u> <u>Dissemination of data to law enforcement</u>
- Minn.esota State Stat.ute 43A.38 Code of Ethics for Employees in the Executive Branch
- Minn.esota State Stat.ute 43A.39 Compliance with Law
- Minn.esota State Stat.ute 181.932 Disclosure of Information by Employee
- Minn<u>esota State</u> Stat<u>ute</u> 609.456 <u>Reporting to State Auditor and Legislative Auditor</u> Required, Subd. 2. Legislative Auditor

#### **Policy History:**

**Date of Adoption:** 6/19/02

Date of Implementation: 6/19/02

Date of Last Review:

#### **Date & Subject of Amendments:**

- Xx/xx/24 Full review, The proposed amendment consists of (1) deleting and replacing outdated information such as replacing "Legislative Auditor" with the "Office of Internal Auditing", (2) reorganizing the information in a more logical sequence, (3) clarifying the language regarding fraud inquiries and fraud investigations, (4) making the Part 6. Remedial Actions language more concise, (5) adding Part 4. Ethical Conduct and Part 11. Training, and (6) applying the Minnesota State formatting and writing styles to the entire policy.
- 01/25/23 technical change replaced MnSCU with Minnesota State and the title executive director of internal auditing with chief audit officer.
- 11/16/11 Effective 1/1/12, the Board of Trustees amends all board policies to change the term "Office of the Chancellor" to "system office," and to make necessary related grammatical changes.
- 6/19/02 repeals Board Policy 7.2, Part 3.

No additional HISTORY.